

fRLR package: Fit Repeated Linear Regressions

Lijun Wang

September 29, 2017

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	An Example	2
3	Ideas	2
4	Method	2
5	Test	3
6	Computation Performance	6

1 Introduction

This R package aims to fit *Repeated Linear Regressions* in which there are some same terms.

2 An Example

Let's start with the simplest situation, we want to fit a set of regressions which only differ in one variable. Specifically, denote the response variable as y , and these regressions are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}y &\sim x_1 + cov_1 + cov_2 + \dots + cov_m \\y &\sim x_2 + cov_1 + cov_2 + \dots + cov_m \\&\dots \sim \dots \\y &\sim x_n + cov_1 + cov_2 + \dots + cov_m\end{aligned}$$

where $cov_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ are the same variables among these regressions.

3 Ideas

Intuitively, we can finish this task by using a simple loop.

However, it is not efficient in that situation. As we all know, in the linear regression, the main goal is to estimate the parameter β . And we have

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y$$

where X is the design matrix and Y is the observation of response variable.

It is obvious that there are some same elements in the design matrix, and the larger m is, the more elements are the same. So I want to reduce the cost of computation by separating the same part in the design matrix.

4 Method

For the above example, the design matrix can be denoted as $X = (x, cov)$. If we consider intercept, it also can be seen as the same variable among these regression, so it can be included in cov naturally. Then we have

$$(X'X)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} x'x & x'cov \\ cov'x & cov'cov \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & v' \\ v & B \end{bmatrix}$$

Woodbury formula tells us

$$(A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1}$$

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & O \\ O & B \end{bmatrix}, U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ O & v \end{bmatrix}, V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & v' \\ 1 & O \end{bmatrix}$$

and $C = I_{2 \times 2}$. Then we can apply woodbury formula,

$$(X'X)^{-1} = (A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1}$$

where

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1} & O \\ O & B^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

We can do further calculations to simplify and obtain the following result

$$(X'X)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/a + \frac{a}{a-v'B^{-1}v}v'B^{-1}v & -\frac{v'B^{-1}}{a-v'B^{-1}v} \\ -\frac{B^{-1}v}{a-v'B^{-1}v} & B^{-1} + \frac{-B^{-1}vv'B^{-1}}{a-v'B^{-1}v} \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice that matrix B is the same for all regression, the identical terms for each regression are just a and v , which are very easy to calculate. So theoretically, we can reduce the cost of computation significantly.

5 Test

Now test two simulation examples by using the functions in this package.

```
> ## use fRLR package
> library(fRLR)

[1] "fRLR"      "stats"      "graphics"   "grDevices" "utils"      "datasets"
[7] "methods"   "base"

> set.seed(123)

NULL

> X = matrix(rnorm(50), 10, 5)

      [,1]      [,2]      [,3]      [,4]      [,5]
[1,] -0.56047565  1.2240818 -1.0678237  0.42646422 -0.69470698
[2,] -0.23017749  0.3598138 -0.2179749 -0.29507148 -0.20791728
[3,]  1.55870831  0.4007715 -1.0260044  0.89512566 -1.26539635
[4,]  0.07050839  0.1106827 -0.7288912  0.87813349  2.16895597
[5,]  0.12928774 -0.5558411 -0.6250393  0.82158108  1.20796200
[6,]  1.71506499  1.7869131 -1.6866933  0.68864025 -1.12310858
[7,]  0.46091621  0.4978505  0.8377870  0.55391765 -0.40288484
[8,] -1.26506123 -1.9666172  0.1533731 -0.06191171 -0.46665535
[9,] -0.68685285  0.7013559 -1.1381369 -0.30596266  0.77996512
[10,] -0.44566197 -0.4727914  1.2538149 -0.38047100 -0.08336907

> Y = rnorm(10)

[1]  0.25331851 -0.02854676 -0.04287046  1.36860228 -0.22577099  1.51647060
[7] -1.54875280  0.58461375  0.12385424  0.21594157

> COV = matrix(rnorm(40), 10, 4)

      [,1]      [,2]      [,3]      [,4]
[1,]  0.37963948 -0.4910312  0.005764186  0.9935039
[2,] -0.50232345 -2.3091689  0.385280401  0.5483970
[3,] -0.33320738  1.0057385 -0.370660032  0.2387317
[4,] -1.01857538 -0.7092008  0.644376549 -0.6279061
```

```

[5,] -1.07179123 -0.6880086 -0.220486562  1.3606524
[6,]  0.30352864  1.0255714  0.331781964 -0.6002596
[7,]  0.44820978 -0.2847730  1.096839013  2.1873330
[8,]  0.05300423 -1.2207177  0.435181491  1.5326106
[9,]  0.92226747  0.1813035 -0.325931586 -0.2357004
[10,] 2.05008469 -0.1388914  1.148807618 -1.0264209

```

```
> frlr1(X, Y, COV)
```

```

  r r.p.value
1 2 0.2212869
2 4 0.6729983
3 0 0.4380128
4 3 0.9495018
5 1 0.7791076

```

```
> ## use simple loop
> res = matrix(nrow = 0, ncol = 2)
```

```
      [,1] [,2]
```

```
> for (i in 1:ncol(X))
+ {
+   mat = cbind(X[,i], COV)
+   df = as.data.frame(mat)
+   model = lm(Y~., data = df)
+   tmp = c(i, summary(model)$coefficients[2, 4])
+   res = rbind(res, tmp)
+ }
```

```
NULL
```

```
> res
```

```
      [,1]      [,2]
tmp    1 0.4380128
tmp    2 0.7791076
tmp    3 0.2212869
tmp    4 0.9495018
tmp    5 0.6729983

```

As we can see in the above output, these p-values for the identical variable in each regression are equal between two methods.

Similarly, we can test another example

```
> library(fRLR)
```

```

[1] "fRLR"      "stats"      "graphics"   "grDevices" "utils"      "datasets"
[7] "methods"   "base"

```

```
> set.seed(123)
```

```
NULL
```

```

> X = matrix(rnorm(50), 10, 5)

      [,1]      [,2]      [,3]      [,4]      [,5]
[1,] -0.56047565  1.2240818 -1.0678237  0.42646422 -0.69470698
[2,] -0.23017749  0.3598138 -0.2179749 -0.29507148 -0.20791728
[3,]  1.55870831  0.4007715 -1.0260044  0.89512566 -1.26539635
[4,]  0.07050839  0.1106827 -0.7288912  0.87813349  2.16895597
[5,]  0.12928774 -0.5558411 -0.6250393  0.82158108  1.20796200
[6,]  1.71506499  1.7869131 -1.6866933  0.68864025 -1.12310858
[7,]  0.46091621  0.4978505  0.8377870  0.55391765 -0.40288484
[8,] -1.26506123 -1.9666172  0.1533731 -0.06191171 -0.46665535
[9,] -0.68685285  0.7013559 -1.1381369 -0.30596266  0.77996512
[10,] -0.44566197 -0.4727914  1.2538149 -0.38047100 -0.08336907

> Y = rnorm(10)

[1]  0.25331851 -0.02854676 -0.04287046  1.36860228 -0.22577099  1.51647060
[7] -1.54875280  0.58461375  0.12385424  0.21594157

> COV = matrix(rnorm(40), 10, 4)

      [,1]      [,2]      [,3]      [,4]
[1,]  0.37963948 -0.4910312  0.005764186  0.9935039
[2,] -0.50232345 -2.3091689  0.385280401  0.5483970
[3,] -0.33320738  1.0057385 -0.370660032  0.2387317
[4,] -1.01857538 -0.7092008  0.644376549 -0.6279061
[5,] -1.07179123 -0.6880086 -0.220486562  1.3606524
[6,]  0.30352864  1.0255714  0.331781964 -0.6002596
[7,]  0.44820978 -0.2847730  1.096839013  2.1873330
[8,]  0.05300423 -1.2207177  0.435181491  1.5326106
[9,]  0.92226747  0.1813035 -0.325931586 -0.2357004
[10,] 2.05008469 -0.1388914  1.148807618 -1.0264209

> idx1 = c(1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3)

[1] 1 2 3 4 1 1 1 2 2 3

> idx2 = c(2, 3, 4, 5, 3, 4, 5, 4, 5, 5)

[1] 2 3 4 5 3 4 5 4 5 5

> frlr2(X, idx1, idx2, Y, COV)

  r1 r2 r1.p.value r2.p.value
1   1  2 0.53021406 0.895719578
2   2  3 0.01812006 0.009833047
3   1  4 0.51074586 0.966484642
4   1  5 0.12479380 0.152802911
5   2  4 0.79302893 0.902402294
6   2  5 0.73153760 0.663392258
7   3  5 0.32367303 0.877154122
8   4  5 0.91749181 0.712075464
9   1  3 0.33761507 0.210331456
10  3  4 0.29895922 0.963995969

```

```

> res = matrix(nrow=0, ncol=4)

      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]

> for (i in 1:length(idx1))
+ {
+   mat = cbind(X[, idx1[i]], X[,idx2[i]], COV)
+   df = as.data.frame(mat)
+   model = lm(Y~., data = df)
+   tmp = c(idx1[i], idx2[i], summary(model)$coefficients[2,4], summary(model)$coefficients[3,4])
+   res = rbind(res, tmp)
+ }

NULL

```

Again, we obtain the same results by different methods.

6 Computation Performance

The main aim of this new method is to reduce the computation cost. Now let's compare its speed with the simple-loop method.

We can obtain the following time cost for $99 \times 100/2 = 4950$ linear regressions.

```

> library(fRLR)
> set.seed(123)
> n = 100
> X = matrix(rnorm(10*n), 10, n)
> Y = rnorm(10)
> COV = matrix(rnorm(40), 10, 4)
> #idx1 = c(1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3)
> #idx2 = c(2, 3, 4, 5, 3, 4, 5, 4, 5, 5)
> id = combn(n, 2)
> idx1 = id[1, ]
> idx2 = id[2, ]
> system.time(frlr2(X, idx1, idx2, Y, COV))

   user  system elapsed
0.056   0.000   0.013

> simpleLoop <- function()
+ {
+   res = matrix(nrow=0, ncol=4)
+   for (i in 1:length(idx1))
+     {
+       mat = cbind(X[, idx1[i]], X[,idx2[i]], COV)
+       df = as.data.frame(mat)
+       model = lm(Y~., data = df)
+       tmp = c(idx1[i], idx2[i], summary(model)$coefficients[2,4], summary(model)$coefficients[3,4])
+       res = rbind(res, tmp)
+     }
+ }
> system.time(simpleLoop())

```

user	system	elapsed
5.420	0.000	5.421